## Evansville Riverfront Design Services

RFP/RFQ Scoring Matrix Template

Primary Services: Civil Engineering, H&H

Q #	Date	Question	Response	Date Distributed
1	12/20/2024	Did Sasaki have another firm help them with the plan? Comment: This is a very quick turnaround over the holidays. Who will all be on the selection committee?	The OVRSP Master Plan was completed by a multi-disciplinary team, including Sasaki. We understand that the turn-around is shorter than may be typical over a holiday and is one of the reasons this is Request for Qualifications rather than a Request for Proposal; to allow firms to focus on putting together a good team and approach. Refined scope and fees will be considered as part of the interview and selection process in the future.	12/27/2024
2	12/19/2024	<ol> <li>Will the selection committee consider a team comprised of multiple subconsultants?         <ul> <li>Context: We would like to consider adding our DBE grant partner and a partner specializing in H&amp;H. We would be the prime consultant, with the two entities as our sub consultants.</li> <li>Is there a possibility that subsequent task orders are provided under this RFQ for services outlined in the RFQ but not identified as primary?</li> <li>Context: BFW Marcum offers survey, geotechnical, structural, transportation, MEP, full environmental, tree inventory and asset management, cost estimation. If the committee would like, we will include some information on these services that were identified in Section 1.6.</li> <li>Are there plans to provide individual RFQ's for the services mentioned in the question above?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Yes. It is acceptable if the respondent puts together a team to provide all the services necessary to address the scope items. How firms should be contracted will be part of a future conversation.</li> <li>Yes, future service orders may identify potential opportunities to include those scope items in this service order.</li> <li>Yes, that is the current intention. However the firm(s) selected for this service order may allow for consolidated services to be provided to address future needs.</li> </ol>	12/27/2024
3	12/20/2024	<ol> <li>Should our proposal include recommended subconsultants for the services listed in Section 1.6 that our firm does not self-perform?</li> <li>Will the civil engineering team be required to prepare their own construction cost estimate, or will a separate 3rd party cost estimator be engaged by Sasaki to prepare the estimate?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>The list of potential sub consultants is provided in the RFQ for informational purposes. Future RFQ's will also be publically available for any firm(s) to provide a response. We are accepting of recommendations, but they do not need to be part of the RFQ response.</li> <li>The design team will provide an ongoing, high-level probably opinion of cost throughout the Schematic Design Process, however our current intent is to add a 3rd party cost estimator to confirm and validate the OPC.</li> </ol>	12/27/2024

SASAKI